

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,656	11/26/2003	Jose M. Sosa	API-1017-US(COS-919)	8871	
25264	. 07/13/2005	•	EXAMINER		
FINA TECHNOLOGY INC PO BOX 674412			ASINOVSKY, OLGA		
	X 77267-4412		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1711		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

×1	_ (
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,656	SOSA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Olga Asinovsky	1711	

before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Olga Asinovsky	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		N d 4h					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	bank materiaka kharadaka az Elleria (h. 1888).	6 AM					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
		omntiant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wvided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36, see attachment</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a r d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa เ มเคร	nce because:				
New claim 37 does not disclose the steps of process conditions for producing an improved HIPS. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
3. ☐ Other:							
	•						

Application/Control Number: 10/723,656 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

Attachment

The amendment filed on June 16, 2005 has been considered. Although the amendment is supported in the previously claimed claims 1, 13 and 26, there are no process conditions steps for producing the improved HIPS. The key of the invention is to use a multifunctional initiator and the content of said initiator to control the gel and swell index, and, thereby to produce a HIPS having high elasticity with the reducing the amount of rubber being present in HIPS resin. All references to Krupinski disclose a process for making HIPS using a tetrafunctional peroxide=initiator. The initiator is present in the amount about 200 to 400 ppm (0.02 to 0.04 wt.%), Krupinski' 6,433,092, col. 8, line 64; the rubber is present in an amount from about 3 to 10 wt% on the total weight of the composition fed to the reactor (i.e. monomers and rubber), col. 8, lines 32-33 in Krupinski'092. Process conditions are controlled by the residence time, the reaction temperature, and the amount of the ingredients for producing the desired high impact polystyrene. The amount of the initiator is readable in the present claims. The content of rubber could be in any amount in the present claims. Thus, the ratio of % gel to % rubber can be within the range specified in the present claims. The rejections of record have not been withdrawn.

0.A.

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700